



2826

<b>TRANSMITTAL FORM</b> (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)	Application Number	09/977,444
	Filing Date	October 15, 2001
	First Named Inventor	Jim Janesick
	Group Art Unit	2826
	Examiner Name	Thomas L. Dickey
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	Attorney Docket Number	50047050-0001

RECEIVED  
OCT 31 2001  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800**ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)**

- ☒ Transmitted herewith is Response to September 23, 2002 Restriction Requirement
- ☒ The fee has been calculated as shown below:

(1) FOR	(2) CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	(3)	(4) HIGHEST NO. PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	(5) PRESENT EXTRA	(6) RATE	(7) ADDITIONAL FEE
TOTAL CLAIMS	19	-	71	0	<input type="checkbox"/> x \$9.00 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> x \$18.00	\$0.00
INDEPENDENT CLAIMS	2	-	9	0	<input type="checkbox"/> x \$42.00 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> x \$84.00	\$0.00
	APPLICATION AMENDED TO CONTAIN ANY MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIMS NOT PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR.			<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO	<input type="checkbox"/> x \$140.00 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> x \$280.00 ONE TIME	\$0.00
	TOTAL ADDITIONAL FEE FOR THIS AMENDMENT					\$0.00

- ☐ Applicant petitions the Commissioner for Patents to extend the time for responding to the Office Action dated \_\_\_\_\_ by one month(s) for a fee of \$ \_\_\_\_\_ so that the period for response is extended to \_\_\_\_\_ under 37 C.F.R. § 1.321.
- ☐ The amount of \$ \_\_\_\_\_ for the Terminal Disclaimer under 37 C.F.R. § 1.321 is included in the enclosed check.
- ☐ The amount of \$ \_\_\_\_\_ for the Amendment under 37 C.F.R. § 1.312(b) is included in the enclosed check.
- ☐ The enclosed check in the amount of \$ \_\_\_\_\_ covers the total claim fee and other applicable fees.
- ☒ The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge the extension fee and any additional fees which may be required, or to credit any overpayment to Account No. 19-3140. A duplicate of this sheet is enclosed.

**SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT**14. ☒ Customer No. 26263Dated: October 23, 2002  
Thomas J. Burton, (Registration No. 47,464)**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: BOX NON-FEE AMENDMENT, Commissioner for Patents, Washington D.C. 20231 on the date indicated below.

Dated: October 23, 2002  
Antonietta Musto



Attorney Docket No. 50047050-0001

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of

**Jim Janesick**

Application No. 09/977,444

Filed: **October 15, 2001**

For: IMAGER CELL WITH PINNED  
TRANSFER GATE

) Group Art Unit 2826  
)

) Examiner: **Thomas L. Dickey**  
)

) I hereby certify that this document is being deposited with  
) the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an  
) envelope addressed to: BOX NON-FEE AMENDMENT,  
) Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231 on  
) October 23, 2002.  
)

) *Antonieta Musto*  
) Antonieta Musto  
)

PATENT

TECHNOLOGY CENTER-2800  
OCT 31 2002  
RECEIVED  
Section  
J. McInnis  
11/1/02  
#5  
H. D. D. B.

BOX NON-FEE AMENDMENT  
Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

**RESPONSE TO SEPTEMBER 23, 2002 RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT**

Dear Sir:

This Amendment is filed in response to the Office Action of September 23, 2002. Please reconsider the application in view of the amendment and remarks presented below.

**IN THE DRAWINGS**

Please amend Fig.1 as indicated in red in the attached marked up copy of this figure.

**REMARKS**

After careful review of the Office Action, the Applicant makes the following response. Initially, Applicant submits that in Fig. 1 the reference number "110" incorrectly identifies both the photoreceptor and the output amplifier of the imager cell 100. Accordingly, Applicant has amended Fig. 1 such that the reference number "102" identifies the photoreceptor, which is consistent with the written disclosure on page 5 lines 19-21 of the specification.

In the Office Action, the examiner argued that the application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: A first embodiment disclosed in figure 1 and page 5 line 19 through page 6 line 6, a second embodiment disclosed in figure 2 and page 6 line 7 through page 8 line 22, a third embodiment disclosed in page 9 lines 1-14, a fourth embodiment disclosed in figure 3 and page 9 line 15 through page 10 line 14, and a fifth